

2

DTIC FILE COPY

AD-A227 991

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Grant N00014-90-J-1193

TECHNICAL REPORT No. 26

Detection of Three-Photon Relaxation of an Atom Near a Phase Conjugator
Through Absorption Measurements

by

Henk F. Arnoldus and Thomas F. George

Prepared for Publication

in

Physical Review A (Brief Reports)

Departments of Chemistry and Physics
State University of New York at Buffalo
Buffalo, New York 14260

September 1990

DTIC
S ELECTE D
OCT 22 1990
E

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the
United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale;
its distribution is unlimited.

90 10 18 013

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited	
DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) UBUFALLO/DC/90/TR-26		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Depts. Chemistry & Physics State University of New York	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION	
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Fronczak Hall, Amherst Campus Buffalo, New York 14260		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Chemistry Program 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	
NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Grant N00014-90-J-1193	
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Chemistry Program 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.
		TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
TITLE (Include Security Classification) Detection of Three-Photon Relaxation of an Atom Near a Phase Conjugator Through Absorption Measurements			
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S): Henk F. Arnoldus and Thomas F. George			
a. TYPE OF REPORT	13b. TIME COVERED FROM: TO:	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) October 1990	15. PAGE COUNT 9
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Prepared for publication in Physical Review A			
COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP SUB-GROUP	PHASE CONJUGATOR, THREE-PHOTON RELAXATION, DETECTION, ABSORPTION MEASUREMENTS. (J40)	
		FOUR-WAVE MIXING,	
		ATOM	
1. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
<p>Spontaneous excitation of an atom near a phase conjugator should be amenable to experimental verification through a measurement of the atomic probe absorption profile. It is shown that the absorption decreases quickly with increasing reflectivity, due to a population of the excited state. The responsible physical process is a three-photon event.</p>			
2. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
3a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. David L. Nelson		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 696-4410	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

Physical Review A (Brief Reports), in press

DETECTION OF THREE-PHOTON RELAXATION OF AN ATOM NEAR
A PHASE CONJUGATOR THROUGH ABSORPTION MEASUREMENTS

Henk F. Arnoldus
Department of Physics
Mendel Hall
Villanova University
Villanova, Pennsylvania 19085

and

Thomas F. George
Departments of Chemistry and Physics & Astronomy
239 Fronczak Hall
State University of New York at Buffalo
Buffalo, New York 14260

ABSTRACT

Spontaneous excitation of an atom near a phase conjugator should be amenable to experimental verification through a measurement of the atomic probe absorption profile. It is shown that the absorption decreases quickly with increasing reflectivity, due to a population of the excited state. The responsible physical process is a three-photon event.

PACS: 42.65.H, 32.80, 52.50.D, 42.50.Kb



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

2

A two-state atom with resonance frequency ω_0 is located near the surface of a four-wave mixing phase conjugator (PC). When the atom is in its excited state $|e\rangle$, then it can decay spontaneously to the ground state $|g\rangle$ under emission of a photon with frequency ω_0 , as represented by diagram (a) in Fig. 1. The space near a four-wave mixing PC always contains photons, which can be understood as follows.¹ The vacuum modes of the electromagnetic field interact with the two pump beams (frequency $\bar{\omega}$) through the third-order susceptibility of the medium. This generates the phase-conjugate replica of the vacuum field, which consists of photons travelling away from the crystal. These photons, with a frequency distribution around $\bar{\omega}$, can be absorbed by the atom when it is in its ground state. A subsequent spontaneous emission of a photon, followed by an absorption of a second photon with frequency $\bar{\omega}$, then leads to the energy-conserving diagram (b) in Fig. 1. After this three-photon process the atom is in the excited state. This process is sometimes called spontaneous excitation,² although it is basically a combination of two stimulated absorptions and a spontaneous decay. It follows from the diagram that the fluorescent photon must have a frequency $2\bar{\omega}-\omega_0$.^{3,4}

The fluorescence spectrum consists of two lines which are located symmetrically around the pump frequency $\bar{\omega}$, and detection of the line at $2\bar{\omega}-\omega_0$ would confirm the occurrence of the three-photon process. Alternatively, the temporal photon statistics carries information on this process.⁵ A major experimental complication is that the fluorescent photons are emitted in the same directions as the photons which are emitted spontaneously by the PC. This could make the fluorescence signal indistinguishable from the background, and thereby undetectable. In this Report we propose an alternative technique for the

observation of three-photon events, which does not rely on fluorescence measurements. When a low-intensity laser beam is directed along the surface of the PC, then the atom will absorb photons from this beam. With I_p , \underline{e} and ω designating the intensity, polarization and frequency of the probe beam, respectively, the absorbed energy per unit of time is given by⁶

$$I(\omega) = \frac{I_p \omega}{e_0 \hbar c} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \langle [\underline{\mu}(\tau) \cdot \underline{e}^*, \underline{\mu}(0) \cdot \underline{e}] \rangle, \quad (1)$$

with $\underline{\mu}$ the atomic dipole moment. This spectral absorption profile $I(\omega)$ will be affected by three-photon processes, but its measurement does not require the detection of any fluorescence.

The evaluation of $I(\omega)$ is straightforward. First we transform the atom's correlation function in the integrand to the Schrödinger picture, which gives

$$\langle [\underline{\mu}(\tau) \cdot \underline{e}^*, \underline{\mu}(0) \cdot \underline{e}] \rangle = \operatorname{Tr}(\underline{\mu} \cdot \underline{e}^*) e^{-i(L_s - i\Gamma)\tau} [\underline{\mu} \cdot \underline{e}, \bar{\rho}]. \quad (2)$$

Here, the Liouvillians L_s and Γ account for the free evolution and the relaxation, respectively, and they are given by

$$L_s \sigma = \omega_0 [P_e, \sigma], \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \sigma = & \frac{1}{2} A_e (P_e \sigma + \sigma P_e - 2d^\dagger \sigma d) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} A_g (P_g \sigma + \sigma P_g - 2d \sigma d^\dagger), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

in terms of the projectors $P_e = |e\rangle\langle e|$, $P_g = |g\rangle\langle g|$, and the raising operator $d = |e\rangle\langle g|$. The two rate constants are $A_e = A(1 + |P|^2/2)$ and $A_g = A|P|^2/2$, where A

is the Einstein coefficient for spontaneous decay in empty space and P is the Fresnel coefficient for reflection of a plane wave. The steady-state density operator $\bar{\rho}$ is the solution of

$$(L_a - i\Gamma)\bar{\rho} = 0, \quad (5)$$

and is found to be

$$\bar{\rho} = \bar{n}_e P_e + \bar{n}_g P_g, \quad (6)$$

with

$$\bar{n}_e = \frac{|P|^2}{2(1+|P|^2)}, \quad \bar{n}_g = 1 - \bar{n}_e. \quad (7)$$

Then we calculate the exponential in Eq. (2), and substitute the result into Eq. (1). This yields

$$I(\omega) = \hbar \omega_0 J_p B (\bar{n}_g - \bar{n}_e) \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Re} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}(A_e + A_g) - i(\omega - \omega_0)}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$B = \frac{\pi}{\epsilon_0 \hbar^2 c} |\langle e | \underline{\mu} \cdot \underline{e} | g \rangle|^2 \quad (9)$$

is the Einstein coefficient for stimulated transitions.

The absorption profile $I(\omega)$ appears to be a Lorentzian at $\omega = \omega_0$ and with a half-width at half-maximum equal to $\frac{1}{2}(A_e + A_g) = \frac{1}{2}A(1 + |P|^2)$. This shows that the width

increases linearly with the intensity-reflection coefficient $|P|^2$. The strength of the line is proportional to the population difference

$$\bar{n}_g - \bar{n}_e = \frac{1}{1 + |P|^2}, \quad (10)$$

which decreases with increasing reflectivity. For an atom in empty space this would be $\bar{n}_g - \bar{n}_e = 1$, because the atom would be in the ground state. The term proportional to \bar{n}_g corresponds to stimulated absorptions, whereas the term proportional to \bar{n}_e comes from stimulated emissions, and counts therefore as negative. The peak value of the profile is

$$I(\omega) = \frac{2\hbar\omega_p I_p B}{\pi A} \frac{1}{(1 + |P|^2)^2}, \quad (11)$$

which decreases very rapidly with increasing $|P|^2$. This is due to the fact that the line broadens and loses strength for increasing values of the reflectivity. For very large values of $|P|^2$ the line disappears completely, as a consequence of $\bar{n}_g = \bar{n}_e$. Stimulated absorptions and emissions of probe-beam photons then occur at the same rate, which gives effectively $I(\omega) = 0$. It should be experimentally feasible to measure the atomic absorption during the switching-on of the PC (turning up the pump power). Any diminishing of the absorption with increasing reflectivity would then indicate a population of the excited state, which is necessarily brought about by a three-photon process.

Acknowledgments


This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research and the National Science Foundation under Grant CHE-8922288.

REFERENCES


1. A. L. Gaeta and R. W. Boyd, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2618 (1988).
2. P. W. Milonni, E. J. Bochove and R. J. Cook, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 6, 1932 (1989).
3. E. J. Bochove, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2547 (1987).
4. H. F. Arnoldus and T. F. George, J. Mod. Opt. (1990), submitted.
5. B. H. W. Hendriks and G. Nienhuis, J. Mod. Opt. 36, 1285 (1989).
6. G. Nienhuis, Physica 66, 245 (1973).

FIGURE CAPTION

Fig. 1. Diagram (a) corresponds to ordinary spontaneous decay from the excited state to the ground state. During the decay, a photon with frequency ω_0 is emitted. In the process of diagram (b), the atom is initially in the ground state. First it absorbs a photon with frequency $\bar{\omega}$ (double arrow), then it emits a fluorescent photon, and subsequently it absorbs a second photon with frequency $\bar{\omega}$. This process leaves the atom in the excited state, and from energy conservation it follows that the fluorescent photon must have frequency $2\bar{\omega} - \omega_0$.



(a)



(b)

Am. J. Phys. and George, Fig. 1.

FY90 Abstracts Distribution List for Solid State & Surface Chemistry

Professor John Baldeschwieler
Department of Chemistry
California Inst. of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125

Professor Paul Barbara
Department of Chemistry
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455-0431

Dr. Duncan Brown
Advanced Technology Materials
520-B Danury Rd.
New Milford, CT 06776

Professor Stanley Bruckenstein
Department of Chemistry
State University of New York
Buffalo, NY 14214

Professor Carolyn Cassady
Department of Chemistry
Miami University
Oxford, OH 45056

Professor R.P.H. Chang
Dept. Matls. Sci. & Engineering
Northwestern University
Evanston, IL 60208

Professor Frank DiSalvo
Department of Chemistry
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853

Dr. James Duncan
Federal Systems Division
Eastman Kodak Company
Rochester, NY 14650-2156

Professor Arthur Ellis
Department of Chemistry
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53706

Professor Mustafa El-Sayed
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor John Eyler
Department of Chemistry
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611

Professor James Garvey
Department of Chemistry
State University of New York
Buffalo, NY 14214

Professor Steven George
Department of Chemistry
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Professor Tom George
Dept. of Chemistry & Physics
State University of New York
Buffalo, NY 14260

Dr. Robert Hamers
IBM T.J. Watson Research Center
P.O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Professor Paul Hansma
Department of Physics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Professor Charles Harris
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

Professor John Hemminger
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Irvine, CA 92717

Professor Roald Hoffmann
Department of Chemistry
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853

Professor Leonard Interrante
Department of Chemistry
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, NY 12181

Professor Eugene Irene
Department of Chemistry
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Dr. Sylvia Johnson
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Zaky Kafafi
Code 6551
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, DC 20375-5000

Professor Larry Kesmodel
Department of Physics
Indiana University
Bloomington, IN 47403

Professor Max Lagally
Dept. Metal. & Min. Engineering
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53706

Dr. Stephen Lieberman
Code 522
Naval Ocean Systems Center
San Diego, CA 92152

Professor M.C. Lin
Department of Chemistry
Emory University
Atlanta, GA 30322

Professor Fred McLafferty
Department of Chemistry
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853-1301

Professor Horia Metiu
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Professor Larry Miller
Department of Chemistry
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455-0431

Professor George Morrison
Department of Chemistry
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853

Professor Daniel Neumark
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

Professor David Ramaker
Department of Chemistry
George Washington University
Washington, DC 20052

Dr. Gary Rubloff
IBM T.J. Watson Research Center
P.O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Professor Richard Smalley
Department of Chemistry
Rice University
P.O. Box 1892
Houston, TX 77251

Professor Gerald Stringfellow
Dept. of Matls. Sci. & Engineering
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Professor Galen Stucky
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Professor H. Tachikawa
Department of Chemistry
Jackson State University
Jackson, MI 39217-0510

Professor William Unertl
Lab. for Surface Sci. & Technology
University of Maine
Orono, ME 04469

Dr. Terrell Vanderah
Code 3854
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555

Professor John Weaver
Dept. of Chem. & Mat. Sciences
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455

Professor Brad Weiner
Department of Chemistry
University of Puerto Rico
Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931

Professor Robert Whetten
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor R. Stanley Williams
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor Nicholas Winograd
Department of Chemistry
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802

Professor Aaron Wold
Department of Chemistry
Brown University
Providence, RI 02912

Professor Vicki Wysocki
Department of Chemistry
Virginia Commonwealth University
Richmond, VA 23284-2006

Professor John Yates
Department of Chemistry
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, PA 15260